## BERKLEY RENEWABLES INC. (Formerly Berkley Resources Inc.)

## CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2013 AND 2012

(Expressed in Canadian Dollars)

#### **Index**

**Notice of No Auditor Review of Interim Financial Statements** 

Condensed Interim Consolidated Statement of Financial Pos ition

Condensed Interim Consolidated Statement of Loss and Comprehensive Income (Loss)

Condensed Interim Consolidated Statement of Changes in Shareholders' Equity

**Condensed Interim Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows** 

**Notes to the Condensed Interim Consolidated Financial Statements** 

## NOTICE OF NO AUDITOR REVIEW OF INTERIM FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

In accordance with National Instrument 51-102, Part 4, subsection 4.3(3) released by the Canadian Securities Administrators, the Company discloses that its auditors have not reviewed these unaudited interim financial statements as at and for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

## BERKLEY RENEWABLES INC. (Formerly Berkley Resources Inc.) CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION (unaudited)

	As At June 30, 2013	As At December 31, 2012
ASSETS		
Current assets		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 71,540	\$ 462,365
Trade and other receivables (Note 17)	127,081	164,215
Due from related parties (Note 15)	25,000	15,000
Marketable securities	8,181	8,181
Prepaid expenses	1,500	1,500
Total assets	233,302	651,261
Investment in RepliCel Life Sciences (Note 5)	386,528	684,994
Petroleum and natural gas interests (Note 6)	129,630	135,569
Exploration and evaluation properties (Note 7)	379,129	379,129
Other property and equipment (Note 8)	4,701	5,361
Total non-current assets	899,988	1,205,053
Total assets	\$ 1,133,290	1,856,314
LIABILITIES		
Current liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 182,928	\$ 184,622
Due to related parties (Note 15)	49,604	82,909
Total current liabilities	232,532	267,531
Decommissioning liability (Note 9)	88,878	88,162
Total liabilities	321,410	355,693
SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Share capital (Note 10)	15,328,435	15,279,367
Share subscription	-	38,500
Warrants (Note 12)	82,719	80,287
Contributed surplus	1,733,013	1,733,013
Deficit	(16,567,997)	(16,283,709)
Accumulated other comprehensive income	(49,096)	249,370
	527,074	1,096,828
Non-controlling interest (Note 14)	284,806	403,793
Total shareholders' equity	811,880	1,500,621
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity Going concern (Note 1)	\$ 1,133,290	\$ 1,856,314

Going concern (Note 1) Subsequent events (Note 19)

Approved by the Board of Directors and authorized for issue on August 29, 2013

"Matt Wayrynen"	
Director	Director

# BERKLEY RENEWABLES INC. (Formerly Berkley Resources Inc.) CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF LOSS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS) (unaudited)

	montl	the three ns ended 30, 2013		For the three months ended June 30, 2012		For the six months ended June 30, 2013		For the six onths ended ne 30, 2012
Oil and gas revenue								
Petroleum and natural gas sales	\$	8,350	\$	5,646	\$	17,398	\$	23,995
Royalty expense		(203)		(196)		(452)		(483)
Net oil and gas revenue		8,147		5,450		16,946		23,512
Oil and gas production expenses								
Operating costs		4,478		3,966		13,962		7,673
Depletion and accretion (Notes 6 & 9)		3,554		6,061		6,580		10,604
		8,032		10,027		20,542		18,277
Net oil and gas (loss) income		115		(4,577)		(3,596)		5,235
General and administrative expenses								
Management fees (Note 15)		90,400		138,720		173,400	2	240,664
Professional fees		450		132,262		63,545		83,000
Consulting fees		14,011		51,154		72,094		66,615
Administrative, office services and premises		20,926		59,581		72,401		70,156
Depreciation (Note 8)		433		478		738		964
Shareholder information		1,281		8,956		12,445		8,462
Filing and transfer agent fees		5,317		15,579		9,008		20,155
		132,818		406,730		403,631	4	190,016
Other income (expenses)								
Unrealized loss on marketable securities		-		(504)		-		(11,580)
Other income		-		23,123		3,952		63,634
		-		22,619		3,952		52,054
Net loss for the period	(1	132,703)		(388,688)		(403,275)	(4	132,727)
Other comprehensive income								
Unrealized (loss) gain on investment	(1	166,542)		(204,705)		(298,466)	(2	72,186)
Total comprehensive (loss) income	\$ (2	299,245)	\$	(593,393)		(701,741)	(7	04,913)
Net loss attributed to: Owners of the parent Non-controlling interest (Note 14)		53,387 79,316		262,528 126,160		284,288 118,987		335,795 96,932
The second secon		132,703		388,688		403,275		432,727
Total comprehensive (loss) income attributed to: Owners of the parent Non-controlling interest (Note 14)		219,929 79,316 299,245		467,233 126,160 593,393		582,754 118,987 701,741		607,981 96,932 704,913
Basic and diluted net loss per share (Note 13)		·	¢.	·	o.			
Dasic and unuted het loss per share (Note 13)	\$	(0.04)	\$	(0.13)	\$	(0.09)		(0.15)

## BERKLEY RENEWABLES INC. (Formerly Berkley Resources Inc.) CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

	Share Capital	Sı	Share ubscription	Warrants	Contributed Surplus	Deficit	Non- controlling interest	Accumulated other comprehensive income	Total
Balance as at December 31, 2011	\$ 14,848,154	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,733,013	\$ (15,670,816)	\$ 579,302	\$ 1,794,310	\$ 3,283,963
Net loss for the period	=		-	-	-	(432,727)	-	-	(335,795)
Unrealized loss on investment	=		-	-	-	-	-	(272,186)	(272,186)
Non-controlling interest	-		-	-	-	-	96,932	-	96,932
Balance as at June 30, 2012	\$ 14,848,154	\$	-	\$ -	\$ 1,733,013	\$ (16,103,543)	\$ 676,234	\$ 1,522,124	\$ 2,675,982
Balance as at December 31, 2012	\$ 15,279,367	\$	38,500	\$ 80,287	\$ 1,733,013	\$ (16,283,709)	\$ 403,793	\$ 249,370	\$ 1,500,621
Private placement, January 11, 2013	49,068		(38,500)	-	-	-	-	-	10,568
Fair value of private placement warrants	-		-	2,432	-	-	-	-	2,432
Net loss for the period	-		-	-	-	(284,288)	-	-	(284,288)
Unrealized gain on investment	-		-	-	-	-	-	(298,466)	(298,466)
Non-controlling interest	=		-	-	-	=	(118,987)	-	(118,987)
Balance as at June 30, 2013	\$ 15,328,435	\$	-	\$ 82,719	\$ 1,733,013	\$ (16,567,997)	\$ 284,806	\$ (49,096)	\$ 811,880

# BERKLEY RENEWABLES INC. (Formerly Berkley Resources Inc.) CONDENSED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (unaudited)

	me	For the three onths ended une 30, 2013	For the three months ended June 30, 2012	For the six onths ended one 30, 2013	For the six nonths ended une 30, 2013
CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS					
OPERATING ACTIVITIES					
Net loss for the period	\$	(132,703)	\$ (388,688)	(403,275)	(432,727)
Items not requiring cash in the year Depreciation, depletion and accretion (Notes 6, 8 & 9)		3,554	6,061	6,580	10,604
Unrealized loss on marketable securities		-	(503)	-	(11,580)
Change in non-cash working capital (Note 16)		(129,149) 2,135	(383,130) 98,833	(396,695) 74,861	(433,703) 11,669
Cash used in operating activities		(127,014)	(284,297)	(321,834)	(422,034)
INVESTING ACTIVITIES  Proceeds on sale of marketable securities		_	55,835	-	32,749
Cash received from investing activities		-	55,835	-	32,749
FINANCING ACTIVITIES  Non-brokered private placements (Note 10)  Share subscription received in advance  Advances from related parties (Note 15)  Repayments to related parties (Note 15)		- - 58,474 14,604	- - 61,388 9,949	- (35,686) (33,305)	- - 117,605 3,451
Cash received from financing activities		73,078	71,337	(68,991)	121,056
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		(53,936)	(157,125)	(390,825)	(268,229)
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period		125,476	563,223	462,365	674,327
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$	71,540	\$ 406,098	\$ 71,540	\$ 406,098

### 1. Nature of Operations and Going Concern

Berkley Renewables Inc. ("Berkley") was created on the amalgamation of Fortune Island Mines Ltd., Kerry Mining Ltd. and Berkley Resources Ltd. under the Company Act (British Columbia) on July 18, 1986. Previously focused on the acquisition, exploration, development and production from petroleum and natural gas interests in Alberta, Canada, Berkley is currently diversifying its strategy into renewable sources of energy, specifically photovoltaic power generation. The address of the registered office is 900, 570 Granville Street, Vancouver, British Columbia, V6C 3P1.

On July 8, 2010, Berkley acquired a 53% interest in American Uranium Corporation ("AUC"). The results of American Uranium Corporation's operations have been included in these consolidated financial statements since that date. American Uranium Corporation is an exploration-stage company engaged in the acquisition and exploration of mineral property interests in the United States.

On November 7, 2011, Berkley acquired 501 common shares of Solar Flow-Through 2012-I General Partner Ltd. ("SFT2012 GP Ltd.") representing a 51% interest. As part of the acquisition, SFT2012 GP Ltd. became a direct subsidiary of the Company. On September 24, 2012, Berkley acquired an additional 449 common shares in SFT2012 GP Ltd. for a total interest of 95% as at December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013.

On November 7, 2011, Berkley acquired 501 common shares of Solar Flow-Through 2012-I Management Ltd. ("SFT2012 Management Ltd.") representing a 51% interest. As part of the acquisition, SFT2012 Management Ltd. became a direct subsidiary of the Company. On September 24, 2012, Berkley acquired an additional 449 common shares in SFT2012 Management Ltd. for a total interest of 95% as at December 31, 2012 and March 31, 2013.

On April 12, 2013, Berkley acquired 950 common shares of Solar Flow-Through 2013-I General Partner Ltd. ("SFT2013 GP Ltd.") representing a 95% interest. As part of the acquisition, SFT2013 GP Ltd. became a direct subsidiary of the Company.

On April 12, 2013, Berkley acquired 950 common shares of Solar Flow-Through 2013-I Management Ltd. ("SFT2013 Management Ltd.") representing a 95% interest. As part of the acquisition, SFT2013 Management Ltd. became a direct subsidiary of the Company.

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Berkley Renewables Inc. and the subsidiaries listed in the following table (hereinafter together referred to as the "Company"):

		% equity	interest
Name	Country of Incorporation	Mar. 31, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012
American Uranium Corp.	United States of America	53%	53%
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I – General Partner Ltd.	Canada	95%	95%
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I – Management Ltd.	Canada	95%	95%
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I – General Partner Ltd.	Canada	95%	-
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I – Management Ltd.	Canada	95%	-

These consolidated financial statements have been prepared on the basis of accounting principles applicable to a going concern which assumes that the Company will continue in operation for the foreseeable future and will be able to realize its assets and discharge its liabilities in the normal course of operations.

## 1. Nature of Operations and Going Concern (Continued)

The Company has a net loss and comprehensive loss for the period of \$701,401, accumulated deficit of \$16,567,997 and negative cash flows from operating activities of \$390,825. These conditions indicate the existence of a material uncertainty which may cast significant doubt about the Company's ability to continue as a going concern.

The Company's ability to continue as a going concern is dependent upon its ability to raise additional capital through the issuance of treasury shares or debt and achieve profitable operations in the future. The management of the Company has developed a strategy to address this uncertainty, including additional equity and/or debt financing; however, there are no assurances that any such financing can be obtained on favourable terms, if at all.

If the going concern assumption were not appropriate for these consolidated financial statements, then adjustments would be necessary in the carrying values of assets and liabilities, reported revenues and expenses, and the statement of financial position classifications used.

The consolidated financial statements were authorized for issue on August 29, 2013 by the Directors of Berkley.

### 2. Basis of Preparation

## Statement of compliance

These condensed interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Accounting Standard ("IAS") 34, "Interim Financial Reporting" as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ("IASB"). The condensed interim consolidated financial statements do not include all of the information required for full annual financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

The Company has followed the same accounting policies and methods of computation used in Berkley's consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, except for those policies which have changed as a result of the adoption of new and amended IFRS pronouncements effective January 1, 2013. In addition, certain disclosures that are required to be included in annual financial statements are not included in these interim financial statements. Therefore, these condensed interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the aforementioned.

The condensed interim consolidated financial statements are presented in Canadian dollars ("\$Cdn").

#### 3. Significant Accounting Estimates and Judgments

The preparation of the Company's condensed interim consolidated financial statements requires management to make, at the end of the reporting period, judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of revenues, expenses, assets, liabilities and the disclosure of contingencies and commitments. However, uncertainty about these assumptions and estimates could result in outcomes that require a material adjustment to the carrying amount of the asset or liability affected in future periods. The estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed by management on an ongoing basis. Revisions to required estimates are recognized in the year in which the estimate is revised.

The key estimates and judgements concerning the future and other key sources of estimation uncertainty at the reporting date that have a significant risk of causing a material adjustment to the carrying amounts of assets and liabilities are outlined below. Readers are cautioned that the following list is not exhaustive and other items may also be affected by estimates and judgements.

### 3. Significant Accounting Estimates and Judgments (Continued)

## Significant judgments

CGU determination

The Company's assets are aggregated into cash-generating-units (CGUs) based on their ability to generate largely independent cash flows. These CGU's are used for impairment testing. CGUs are determined by similar geological structure, shared infrastructure and geographical proximity.

### Significant estimates and assumptions

Impairment of non-financial assets

The Company assesses its P&NG and E&E assets for possible impairment if there are events or changes in circumstances that indicate the carrying values of the assets may not be recoverable. Such indicators include changes in the Company's business plans, changes in commodity prices, evidence of physical damage and significant downward revisions to estimated recoverable volumes or increases in estimated future development expenditures. The assessment for impairment for P&NG and E&E assets involves comparing the carrying value of the CGU with the higher of value in use and fair value less costs to sell. Determination as to whether and how much an asset is impaired involves management estimates on highly uncertain matters such as future commodity prices, the effects of inflation on operating expenses, discount rates, production profiles and the outlook for regional supply-and-demand conditions for crude oil, natural gas and liquids. Impairment is recognized in earnings in the period in which carrying amount exceeded the recoverable amount.

An impairment loss is reversed if there has been a change in the estimates used to determine the recoverable amount. An impairment loss is reversed only to the extent that the asset's carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depletion and depreciation or amortization, if no impairment loss had been recognized. A reversal of an impairment loss is recognized immediately in profit or loss.

### Share-based payment transactions

The Company follows the fair value method to record share-based payment expense with respect to options granted. The fair value of each option granted is estimated based on the date of grant and a provision for the cost is provided for with a corresponding credit to reserves in shareholders' equity over the vesting period of the option agreement. Forfeitures are estimated for each tranche, and adjusted as required to reflect actual forfeitures that have occurred in the period. In order to record share-based payment expense, the Company estimates the fair value of share options granted using assumptions related to interest rates, expected lives of the options, volatility of the underlying security, forfeitures and expected dividend yields.

### Income taxes

Uncertainties exist with respect to the interpretation of complex tax regulations and the amount and timing of future taxable income. The Company establishes provisions, based on reasonable estimates, for possible consequences of audits by the tax authorities. The amount of such provisions is based on various factors, such as experience of previous tax audits and differing interpretations of tax regulations by the taxable entity and the responsible tax authority. Deferred tax assets are recognized for all unused tax losses to the extent that it is probable that taxable earnings will be available against which the losses can be utilized. Significant management judgment is required to determine the amount of deferred tax assets that can be recognized, based upon the likely timing and the level of future taxable earnings together with future tax planning strategies.

### 3. Significant Accounting Estimates and Judgments (Continued)

## Useful lives of property and equipment

The Company estimates the useful lives of property and equipment based on the period over which the assets are expected to be available for use. The estimated useful lives of property and equipment are reviewed periodically and are updated if expectations differ from previous estimates due to physical wear and tear, technical or commercial obsolescence and legal or other limits on the use of the relevant assets. In addition, the estimation of the useful lives of property and equipment are based on internal technical evaluation and experience with similar assets. It is possible, however, that future results of operations could be materially affected by changes in the estimates brought about by changes in factors mentioned above. The amounts and timing of recorded expenses for any period would be affected by changes in these factors and circumstances. A reduction in the estimated useful lives of the property and equipment would increase the recorded expenses and decrease the non-current assets.

#### Allowance for doubtful debts

The Company makes allowances for doubtful debts based on an assessment of the recoverability of receivables. Allowances are applied to receivables where events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. Management specifically analysed historical bad debts, customer concentrations, customer credit worthiness, current economic trends and changes in customer payment terms when making a judgement to evaluate the adequacy of the allowance for doubtful debts of receivables. Where the expectation is different from the original estimate, such difference will impact the carrying value of receivables.

## Fair value of financial instruments

The estimated fair value of financial assets and liabilities, by their very nature, are subject to measurement uncertainty. Trade and other receivables are stated after evaluation as to their collectability and an appropriate allowance for doubtful accounts is provided where considered necessary.

Decommissioning liabilities and accretion

The amounts recorded for decommissioning liabilities and the related accretion expenses are based on estimates of the costs to abandon and reclaim the wells and facilities and the estimated time period in which these costs are expected to be incurred in the future. In determining the fair value of the decommissioning liabilities, assumptions and estimates are made in relation to discount rates, the expected cost for the reclamation, the expected cost to recover the asset and the expected timing of those costs. The Company's operations are affected by federal, provincial and local laws and regulations concerning environmental protection. The Company's provisions for future site restoration and reclamation are based on known requirements. It is not currently possible to estimate the impact on operating results, if any, of future legislative or regulatory developments.

#### Depletion and depreciation

Amounts recorded for depreciation are based on estimates including economic life of the asset and residual values of the asset at the end of its economic life. Depletion of resource assets is measured over the life of proved and probable reserves on a unit-of production basis and commences when the wells are substantively complete and after commercial production has begun. Reserve estimates and the associated future capital can have a significant impact on earnings, as these are key components to the calculation of depletion. A downward revision in the reserve estimate or an upward revision to future capital would result in increased depletion, reduced earnings and reduced carrying value of petroleum and natural gas property assets.

### 4. Significant Accounting Policies

These condensed interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared using the same accounting policies and methods of computation as described in Note 3 of the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, except for the adoption of new standards and interpretations issued by the IASB that were effective on January 1, 2013 as outlined below:

- (i) IFRS 10 Consolidated Financial Statements: issued in May 2011, identifies the concept of control as the determining factor in whether an investee should be included within the consolidated financial statements of the parent. The guidance requires an entity to consolidate an investee when it has exposure or rights to variable returns from its involvement with the investee and has the ability to affect those returns. The standard applies to all investees, including special purpose entities and replaces SIC- 12 Consolidation Special Purpose Entities and parts of IAS 27 Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements. There was no impact on the Company's interim financial statements upon adoption of IFRS 10 on January 1, 2013.
- (ii) IFRS 11 Joint Arrangements: issued in May 2011, addresses two forms of joint arrangements where there is joint control: joint operations and joint ventures. In a joint operation, each venturer will recognize its share of the operation's assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses. Joint ventures will be required to use the equity method of accounting. IFRS 11 replaces IAS 31 Interests in Joint Ventures and SIC-13 Jointly-controlled Entities Non-Monetary Contributions from Venturers. There was no impact on the Company's interim financial statements upon adoption of IFRS 11 on January 1, 2013.
- (iii) IFRS 12 Disclosure of Interests in Other Entities: issued in May 2011. It is a comprehensive standard addressing disclosure requirements for all forms of interests in other entities, including joint arrangements, associates, subsidiaries, special purpose entities and unconsolidated structured entities. The standard aims to provide information to enable users to evaluate the nature of an entity's interest in other entities and the associated risks. There was no impact on the Company's interim financial statements upon adoption of IFRS 12 on January 1, 2013.
- (iv) IFRS 13 Fair Value Measurement: issued in May 2011, replaces fair value measurement and disclosure guidance throughout individual IFRS standards with one comprehensive source of fair value measurement guidance. IFRS 13 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset, or paid to transfer a liability, in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The standard also provides a framework for measurement of fair value and establishes required disclosures. There was no impact on the Company's interim financial statements upon adoption of IFRS 13, on January 1, 2013.
- (v) IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures: the IASB issued amendments to IAS 28 Investments in Associates and Joint Ventures to coincide with the changes made in IFRS 10 and IFRS 11. Amendment is effective for annual periods on or after January 1, 2013. There was no impact on the Company's interim financial statements upon adoption of IFRS 28 on January 1, 2013

## 5. Investment in RepliCel Life Sciences

During 2010, Berkley acquired 400,000 common shares of Trichoscience Innovations Inc. ("Trichoscience") at a price of \$1.00 per share. On May 9, 2011, Trichoscience became a wholly-owned subsidiary of RepliCel Life Sciences ("RepliCel"). Each outstanding share of Trichoscience was exchanged for 2.2953 common shares of RepliCel. The common shares were being held in escrow and have been released at 15% per quarter beginning January 1, 2012.

As at June 30, 2013, all shares had been released from escrow (2012 – 550,872) and have been valued at RepliCel's trading price at the reporting date. The investment in common shares in RepliCel still held in escrow were measured at the fair value using the Black-Scholes pricing model which resulted in the Company recognizing an unrealized loss on investment in other comprehensive income for the period ended June 30, 2013 of \$298,466 (2012 unrealized loss of \$272,186). The following assumptions were used to measure fair value of the investment:

	2012	2011
Risk free interest rate	1.13%	0.99%
Expected volatility	81%	81%
Expected life (years)	2.13	2.13

#### 6. Petroleum and Natural Gas Interests

#### Cost or deemed cost

Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 749,443
Change in estimate	1,155
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 750,598
Additions	
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$ 750,598
Depletion and impairment losses	
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$ 601,948
Depletion	13,081
Balance at December 31, 2012	\$ 615,029
Depletion	5,939
Balance at June 30, 3013	\$ 620,968
Net book value	
At December 31, 2011	\$ 147,495
At December 31, 2012	\$ 135,569
At March 31, 2013	\$ 129,630

#### 7. Exploration and Evaluation Assets

Balance at December 31, 2011 and 2012 and June 30, 2013	\$ 379,129

Exploration and evaluation (E&E) assets consist of the Company's exploration projects which are pending the determination of proven or probable reserves.

## 8. Other Property and Equipment

. Other Property and Equipment					
		Computer equipment	Furniture, fixtures and equipment	Leasehold improvements	Total
Cost or deemed cost					
Balance at December 31, 2011 Additions	\$	36,724 -	\$ 9,199	\$ 4,078 -	\$ 50,001 -
Balance at December 31, 2012 Additions		36,724	9,199	4,078	50,001
Balance at June 30, 2013	\$	36,724	\$ 9,199	\$ 4,078	\$ 50,001
		Computer equipment	Furniture, fixtures and equipment	Leasehold improvements	Total
Depreciation and impairment loss					
Balance at December 31, 2011					
Depreciation	\$	30,757 1,620	\$ 7,955 230	\$ 4,078 -	\$ 42,790 1,850
,	\$ ——	•	\$ •	\$ 4,078 - 4,078	\$ ŕ
Depreciation	<b>\$</b> 	1,620	 230	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ 1,850
Depreciation  Balance at December 31, 2012	\$	1,620 32,377	 230 8,185	\$ <u>-</u>	\$ 1,850 44,640
Depreciation  Balance at December 31, 2012  Depreciation		1,620 32,377 639	\$ 230 8,185 99	4,078	1,850 44,640 738
Depreciation  Balance at December 31, 2012  Depreciation  Balance at June 30, 2013		1,620 32,377 639	\$ 230 8,185 99	4,078	1,850 44,640 738

#### 9. Decommissioning Liability

At June 30, 2013

The following table presents the reconciliation of the carrying amount of the obligation associated with the decommissioning of the Company's P&NG assets:

4,701

	June 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012
Balance, beginning of year	\$ 88,162	\$ 85,623
Accretion	716	1,384
Change in estimates	-	1,155
Balance, end of year	\$ 88,878	\$ 88,162

Berkley estimates the total undiscounted amount of cash flows required to settle its decommissioning liability is approximately \$88,878 (2012 - \$86,731) which will be incurred between 2017 and 2029. The majority of these obligations will be incurred in 2017. An inflation factor of 1.5% has been applied to the estimated asset retirement cost. Risk-free discount rates of 1.30% - 2.32% (2012 – 1.57% - 2.66%) was used to calculate the fair value of the decommissioning liability.

## 10. Share Capital

a) Authorized

Unlimited Class A common shares, without par value.

### b) Issued

	Number of shares	Amount
Balance as at December 31, 2010 and 2011 (i) Private placement (ii)	4,613,951 5,115,000	\$ \$14,848,154 511,500
Fair value of warrants (ii)	-	(80,287)
Balance as at December 31, 2012 Private placement (iii)	9,728,951 515,000	\$ 15,279,367 51,500
Balance, June, 2013	10,243,951	\$ 15,330,867

- i. In April 2012, the Company effected a share consolidation of its share capital on a 10 for 1 basis, consolidating its 46,139,482 outstanding common shares to 4,613,951 common shares (fraction adjustment of three). All references to common stock in these consolidated financial statements have been changed to reflect the share consolidation.
- ii. On September 18, 2012, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement and issued 5,115,000 units for gross proceeds of \$511,500. Each unit consists of one common share and one half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$0.20 per share for a period of two years following the close of the private placement. The fair value of the warrants issued using the Black-Scholes model was \$80,287, the following assumptions were used; volatility of 91.67%, expected life of two years and risk free interest rate of 1.18% (Note 11).
- iii. On January 11, 2013, the Company completed a non-brokered private placement and issued 515,000 units for gross proceeds of \$51,500. Each u unit consists of one common share and one half of one common share purchase warrant. Each whole warrant entitles the holder thereof to purchase one additional common share of the Company at a price of \$0.20 per share for a period of two years following the close of the private placement. The fair value of the warrants using the Black-Scholes model was \$2,432, the following assumptions were used; volatility of 352.1%, expected life of two years and risk free interest rate of 1,21% (Note 11).

## 11. Share-Based Payments

The Company has an equity-settled stock option plan under which the Board of Directors may grant options to directors, officers, other employees and key consultants. The purpose of the plan is to advance the interests of the Company by encouraging these individuals to acquire shares in the Company and thereby remain associated with, and seek to maximize the value of, the Company.

Under the plan, the number of shares reserved for issuance pursuant to the exercise of all options under the plan may not exceed 10% of the issued and outstanding common shares on a non-diluted basis at any time. The options expire not more than five years from the date of grant, or earlier if the individual ceases to be associated with the Company, and vest over terms determined at the time of grant.

The following tables summarize information about stock options outstanding as at:

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012		
	Number of shares subject to option	Weighted average exercise price per option	Number of shares subject to option	Weighted average exercise price per option	
Balance outstanding, beginning of period  Activity in the period:	-	-	35,000	\$5.60	
Expired	-	-	(35,000)	(\$5.60)	
Cancelled	-	-	-	-	
Balance outstanding, end of period			-		
Exercisable, end of period	-	-	-		

### 12. Warrants

The following table summarizes information about warrants outstanding as at:

	June 30, 2013		December 31, 2012	
	Number of Shares Subject to Warrants	Exercise price range	Number of Shares Subject to Warrants	Exercise price range
Balance outstanding, beginning of period	2,557,500	\$0.20		
Issued	2,337,500 257,500	\$0.20 \$0.20	2,557,500	\$0.20
Expired	· -	-	-	-
Balance outstanding, end of period	2,815,000	\$0.20	2,557,500	\$0.20

#### 13. Loss Per Share

Basic income or loss per share amounts are calculated by dividing the net income or loss of the year attributable to common shareholders of the Company by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the year.

The Company's dilutive instruments consist of common share purchase warrants and stock options.

	June 30, 2013	Dec. 31 2012
Net loss	\$ (284,288)	\$ (72,747)
Weighted average shares outstanding	6,401,748	4,613,948
Basic and diluted loss per common share	\$ (0.04)	\$ (0.01)

The basic and diluted loss per share amounts are the same as the common share purchase warrants and stock options were excluded from the dilution calculation, as they were anti-dilutive.

## 14. Non-controlling interest

The Company's non-controlling interest in the consolidated statement of financial position was as follows:

	June 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012
American Uranium Corp.	\$ 307,588	\$ 422,557
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I – General Partner Ltd	(5,837)	(2,669)
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I - Management Ltd.	(16,945)	(16,095)
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I – General Partner Ltd	-	-
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I - Management Ltd.	-	-
	\$ 284,806	\$ 403,793

The Company's non-controlling interests included in the Consolidated Statement of Loss and Comprehensive Income (Loss) were as follows:

	June 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012
American Uranium Corp.	\$ 114,969	\$ 156,745
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I – General Partner Ltd	3,168	2,669
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I – Management Ltd.	850	16,095
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I – General Partner Ltd	-	-
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I – Management Ltd.	-	-
	\$ 118,987	\$ 175,509

### 15. Related Party Transactions

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Berkley Renewables Ltd. and the subsidiaries listed below:

		% equity interest		
Name	Country of Incorporation	June 30, 2013	December 31, 2012	
American Uranium Corp.	United States of America	53%	53%	
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I General Partner Ltd.	Canada	95%	51%	
Solar Flow-Through 2012-I Management Ltd.	Canada	95%	51%	
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I General Partner Ltd.	Canada	95%	-	
Solar Flow-Through 2013-I Management Ltd.	Canada	95%	-	

Balances and transactions between Berkley and its subsidiaries have been eliminated on consolidation and are not disclosed in this note. Details of transactions with other related parties are disclosed below:

- a) Due to related parties consists of \$49,604 (2012 \$55,285) due to Directors of Berkley for Directors fees, consulting fees and expenses. Due from related parties of \$25,000 (2010 \$Nil) relates to an advance to key management personnel during the year.
- b) Management and consulting fees totalling \$83,000 were paid to Directors and their private companies in the period ended June 30, 2013 (2012 \$104,480); and rent expense totalling \$7,703 (2012 \$2,620) was paid to a company whose management is related to a Director of Berkley.
- c) Berkley takes part in a cost sharing arrangement to reimburse Oniva International Services Corporation ("Oniva"), a private company owned by public companies having common Directors, for a variable percentage of its overhead expenses, to reimburse 100% of its out-of-pocket expenses incurred on behalf of Berkley, and to pay a percentage fee based on the total overhead and corporate expenses. The agreement may be terminated with one-month notice by either party.

Administrative services, office supplies and accounting charges totalling \$47,588 were paid to Oniva during the six months ended June 30, 2013 (2012 - \$24,144). At quarter end, \$8,387 (2012 - \$5,442) of this amount was included in accounts payable and accrued liabilities.

## Compensation of key management personnel

The remuneration of directors and other members of key management personnel during the years were as follows:

	Three months ended		Six months end		
	June 30, 2013 \$	June 30, 2012 <b>\$</b>	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	
Compensation, including bonuses	90,400	84,500	173,400	180,500	
	90,400	84,500	173,400	180,500	

## 16. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

	Three months ended		Six montl	hs ended
	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012	June 30, 2013	June 30, 2012
Change in non-cash working capital items:				
Trade and other receivables	37,134	36,615	52,487	17,419
Prepaid expenses	-	-	-	(5,000)
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(34,999)	62,218	22,374	(750)
Net change in non-cash working capital items	2,135	98,833	74,861	11,669

#### 17. Financial Instruments and Financial Risk Management

#### Fair Values

Fair value estimates of financial instruments are made at a specific point in time, based on relevant information about financial markets and specific financial instruments. As these estimates are subjective in nature, involving uncertainties and matters of significant judgement, they cannot be determined with precision. Changes in assumptions can significantly affect estimated fair values. At December 31, 2012 and June 30, 2013, the Company's financial instruments include cash and cash equivalents, trade and other receivables, marketable securities, investment in RepliCel Life Sciences, accounts payable and accrued liabilities and due to related parties. The fair values of these financial instruments approximate their carrying values due to their short-term maturity.

Fair value represents the price at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in an orderly market, in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties who are under no compulsion to act.

Berkley classifies the fair value of the financial instruments according to the following hierarchy based on the amount of observable inputs used to value the instrument:

- Level 1 inputs to the valuation methodology are quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets;
- Level 2 inputs to the valuation methodology included quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in active markets, and inputs that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the financial instrument. Level 2 valuations are based on inputs, including quoted forward prices for commodities, time value and volatility factors, which can be substantially observed or corroborated in the marketplace; and,
- Level 3 inputs to the valuation methodology are not based on observable market data.

Cash and cash equivalents and marketable securities are recorded based on Level 1 of the fair-value hierarchy. Investment in RepliCel is recorded based on Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying value of trade and other receivables and accounts payable and accounts payable and accrued liabilities equals fair value due to the short-term nature of these balances.

## 17. Financial Instruments and Financial Risk Management (continued)

The Company's risk management policies are established to identify and analyze the risks faced by the Company, to set appropriate risk limits and controls, and to monitor risks and adherence to market conditions and the Company's activities. The Company has exposure to credit risk, liquidity risk and market risk as a result of its use of financial instruments. This note presents information about the Company's exposure to each of the above risks and the Company's objectives, policies and processes for measuring and managing these risks. Further quantitative disclosures are included throughout these consolidated financial statements. The Board of Directors has overall responsibility for the establishment and oversight of the Company's risk management framework. The Board has implemented and monitors compliance with the risk management policies as set out herein:

#### Credit risk

Credit risk is the risk of financial loss to the Company if a customer or counterparty to a financial instrument fails to meet its contractual obligations. A substantial portion of the Company's trade and other receivables are with natural gas and liquids marketers and joint venture partners in the oil and gas industry and are subject to normal industry credit risks. As at June 30, 2013, the maximum credit exposure is the carrying amount of the trade and other receivables of \$127,081 (2012 – \$114,948). As at June 30, 2013, the Company's receivables consisted of \$98,448 from joint venture partners and other trade receivables (2012 - \$79,058) and \$28,633 (2012 - \$35,890) of revenue receivable from petroleum and natural gas marketers.

The Company did not provide for any doubtful accounts nor was it required to write-off any receivables during the six months ended June 30, 2013 (2012 - \$nil). The Company would only choose to write-off a receivable balance (as opposed to providing an allowance) after all reasonable avenues of collection had been exhausted.

The Company considers its trade and other receivables to be aged as follows:

	Mar. 31, 2013
Not past due or impaired	\$ 29,868
Past due by less than 90 days but not impaired	40,686
Past due by more than 90 days but not impaired	56,527
	\$ 127,081

Amounts past due by more than 90 days are from Canada Revenue Agency therefore impairment would not be required as the Company expects to receive the full amount from this government agency.

### Liquidity risk

Liquidity risk is the risk that the Company will incur difficulties meeting its financial obligations as they are due. The Company's approach to managing liquidity is to ensure, as far as possible, that it will have sufficient liquidity to meet its liabilities when due, under both normal and stressed conditions without incurring unacceptable losses or risking harm to the Company's reputation.

The Company's operating cash requirements are continuously monitored by management. As factors impacting cash requirements change, liquidity risks may necessitate the need for the Company to raise capital by issuing equity. The Company's financial liabilities are comprised of accounts payable and accrued liabilities and due to related parties, which have expected maturities of less than one year.

## 17. Financial Instruments and Financial Risk Management (continued)

#### Market risk

The significant market risk exposures affecting the financial instruments held by the Company are those related to foreign currency exchange rates and commodity price risk which are explained as follows:

### i. Currency risk

Foreign currency exchange rate risk is the risk that the fair value or future cash flows will fluctuate as a result of changes in foreign exchange rates. The Company enters into transactions denominated in United States currency for which the related expenses and accounts payable balances are subject to exchange rate fluctuations. As at June 30, 2013, the following items are denominated in United States currency:

	Mar. 31,	Dec. 31,
	2013	2012
	CAD\$	CAD\$
Cash and cash equivalents	275	317
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	-	167

## ii. Commodity price risk

Commodity price risk is the risk that the cash flows and operations of the Company will fluctuate as a result of changes in commodity prices. Significant changes in commodity prices can also impact the Company's ability to raise capital or obtain additional debt financing. Commodity prices for crude oil are impacted by world economic events that dictate the levels of supply and demand.

The Company's financial performance is closely linked to crude oil and natural gas prices. While the Company may employ the use of financial instruments in the future to manage these price exposures, it currently does not have enough producing wells to hedge its production, and its crude oil and natural gas liquids are sold into spot markets. Given productions levels, a 10% change in commodity prices would not have a material effect on earnings.

### 18. Capital Management

The Company defines its capital to include the following:

	June 30, 2013	Dec. 31, 2012
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 71,540	\$ 462,365
Shareholders' equity	\$ 811,880	\$ 1,096,828

The Company's objective is to maintain access to sources of capital with which to finance its operations. The Company manages its capital structure and makes changes to it in light of changes in economic conditions and the risk characteristics of the underlying investments. The Company will balance its overall capital structure through new share issues or by undertaking other activities as deemed appropriate in the specific circumstances. At June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, the Company was not subjected to any externally imposed capital requirements.